

Fig. 2. A stereoscopic view of the unit cell along [100].

Table 4. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.310(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.395(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.391(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.399(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.376(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.374(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1.110(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.399(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.338(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.374(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.418(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.402(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $0.93(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $0.95(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $0.98(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $1.00(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $108.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{aa})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $121.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $107.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $117.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $179.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $121.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $119.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $121.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | $111.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})$ | $117.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | $128.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | $110.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $137.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $128.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{aa})$ | $100.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{ab})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $120.6(2)$ |

planar to within $0.011 \AA$ and the dihedral angle between the two rings is $2 \cdot 0^{\circ}$. These effects are presumably due to molecular packing.

A stereoview of the molecular packing is given in Fig. 2. The molecules are arranged in layers nearly parallel to the $a c$ plane, the interlayer separation being approximately $3.4 \AA$; the molecules are held together by van der Waals forces.

The authors are deeply indebted to Professor L. Golič for his interest in this work. The financial support of the Research Community of Slovenia is gratefully acknowledged.
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# 1-p-Tolyl-4-( $\beta$-D-erythrofuranosyl)imidazoline-2-thione 

By I. Barragán, A. López-Castro and R. Márquez<br>Departamento de Optica y Sección de Física del Departamento de Investigaciones Físicas y Químicas (Centro Coordinado del CSIC), Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

(Received 15 June 1977; accepted 27 July 1977)

Abstract. $\mathrm{SN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16}$, orthorhombic, space group $P 2_{12} 2_{1}{ }_{1}, a=26 \cdot 215$ (14), $b=7 \cdot 608$ (5), $c=7 \cdot 142$ (4) $\AA ; Z=4 ; D_{c}=1.36, D_{m}=1.37 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix
least-squares procedures to a final $R$ of 0.040 . The sugar-ring puckering has the twist conformation ${ }_{3}^{2} T$. The molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces.

Introduction. The structure determination of $1-p$-tolyl-4-( $\beta$-D-erythrofuranosyl)imidazoline-2-thione

was undertaken as part of a series of structural studies of glucimidazoles and imidazole C-nucleosides. A structural study of 1-p-tolyl-4-( $\alpha$-D-erythrofuranosyl)-imidazoline-2-thione has already been made (Barragán, López-Castro \& Márquez, 1977).

The title compound was obtained by heating under pressure an aqueous solution of $1-p$-tolyl-4-( $D$-arabino-tetrahydroxybutyl)imidazoline-2-thione. The reaction takes place with inversion at $C^{*}$.

This structure determination completes the conformational study of the two anomers obtained by dehydration of 1-p-tolyl-4-(D-arabinotetrahydroxy-butyl)imidazoline-2-thione.

Crystals were grown by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution and were yellow prisms elongated along c. Weissenberg and rotation photographs established the orthorhombic space group $P 22_{1} 2_{1}$. The cell dimensions were obtained by least-squares analysis of diffractometer measurements with Mo $K$ a radiation ( $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ).

Intensity data were collected by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan method on a Philips automated four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radi-

Table 1. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for non-hydrogen atoms

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  | $y$ | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $521(1)$ | $8698(4)$ | $-1917(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $-82(1779(4)$ | $-333(4)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $478(1)$ | $10598(4)$ | $2664(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1389(1)$ | $4969(5)$ | $1022(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $742(1)$ | $6217(5)$ | $2383(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $196(2)$ | $988(7)$ | $-802(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1828(2)$ | $3873(6)$ | $640(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2196(2)$ | $3663(7)$ | $1957(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2638(2)$ | $2665(7)$ | $1463(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2694(2)$ | $1985(7)$ | $-328(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $2309(2)$ | $2216(7)$ | $-1622(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1863(2)$ | $3156(7)$ | $-1127(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1093(2)$ | $4983(6)$ | $2624(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1207(2)$ | $6273(6)$ | $-193(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $802(2)$ | $7009(6)$ | $654(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $477(2)$ | $8545(7)$ | $62(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $664(2)$ | $10345(6)$ | $815(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $450(2)$ | $11610(6)$ | $-652(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $558(2)$ | $10565(7)$ | $-2406(7)$ |
| S | $1140(1)$ | $3598(2)$ | $4492(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

ation. Reflections were collected to a maximum $\sin \theta / \lambda$ of $0 \cdot 60$. Three reference reflections were used during the data collection. The variation in intensity was observed to be less than $2 \%$ of the mean value.

The intensities of 2431 independent reflections were measured. Of these, 890 with $I<2 \sigma(I)$ were considered unobserved and were assigned zero weight through the refinement process. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. No absorption correction was applied ( $\mu R<0 \cdot 1$ ).

The structure was solved by the multisolution tangent formula method (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971). The data were placed on an absolute scale (Wilson, 1942) and normalized structure factors calculated. The first $E$ map revealed nine non-hydrogen atoms. The structure was completed from a threedimensional electron-density synthesis in which the phase angles were determined from the known atomic positions. The whole structure was then refined by fullmatrix least-squares methods, varying all positional and thermal parameters. Three cycles with isotropic thermal refinement followed by three anisotropic cycles lowered $R$ to $0 \cdot 060$. A subsequent difference synthesis revealed the 16 H atoms. The positional parameters of the H atoms, with isotropic thermal parameters equal to those of the carrier atoms, were kept constant in the refinement process. The quantity minimized was $\sum w\left(F_{o}-F_{c}\right)^{2}$ with weights $w=\sigma^{-2}\left(F_{o}\right)$. Standard tabulations of scattering factors were used for $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$ and C (Cromer \& Mann, 1968) and H (International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography, 1962). The refinement was terminated at $R=0.040$. The positional parameters from the final least-squares cycle are listed in Tables 1 and 2.*

[^0]Table 2. Atomic coordinates of $\mathrm{H}\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ and isotropic temperature factors

|  |  | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| H1(C1) | 307 | -25 | -85 | $2 \cdot 3$ |
| H2(C1) | 333 | 100 | -200 | $2 \cdot 3$ |
| H3(C1) | 327 | 40 | 20 | $2 \cdot 3$ |
| H(C3) | 212 | 400 | 340 | $4 \cdot 0$ |
| H(C4) | 285 | 230 | 260 | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| H(C6) | 232 | 145 | -295 | $4 \cdot 7$ |
| H(C7) | 163 | 340 | -218 | $3 \cdot 8$ |
| H(C9) | 135 | 630 | -150 | $3 \cdot 0$ |
| H(C11) | 10 | 840 | 59 | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| H(C12) | 108 | 1030 | 70 | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| H(C13) | 58 | 1282 | -60 | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| H1(C14) | 29 | 1085 | -35 | $3 \cdot 9$ |
| H2(C14) | 90 | 1090 | -30 | $3 \cdot 9$ |
| H(N2) | 48 | 660 | 350 | $1 \cdot 6$ |
| H(O3) | 15 | 1015 | 275 | $3 \cdot 4$ |
| H(O2) | -22 | 1240 | -140 | $4 \cdot 0$ |

Discussion. A perspective view of the molecule with the numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 1. The bond lengths and angles are given in Tables 3 and 4. Most have reasonable values and there are no significant deviations from the values found in analogous compounds. The $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ distance of $1.56 \AA$, although rather long, is in good agreement with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bond ( $1.56 \AA$ ) found by Hoge \& Nordman (1974).
The computed least-squares planes in the molecule are: $0.439 x+0.843 y-0.312 z=4.431,0.610 x+$ $0.675 y+0.416 z=5.082$ and $0.998 x-0.011 y-$ $0.067 z=1.352$ for the phenyl, imidazoline and furanosyl rings respectively. Both the phenyl and the imidazoline rings are planar with displacements in the range of the standard deviations. The dihedral angle between the phenyl and imidazoline rings is $45^{\circ}$. The furanosyl ring is not planar, as expected. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the imidazoline and furanosyl rings is $55^{\circ}$. For the a anomer (Barragán, López-Castro \& Márquez, 1977) the dihedral angles were $53^{\circ}$ and $87^{\circ}$ respectively.
As found in other compounds the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ endocyclic bonds are practically the same for the $a$ anomer and different for the $\beta$ anomer. In fact $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)=1.47$
and $O(1)-C(11)=1.42 \AA$. This may be due to the anomeric effect (Berman, Chu \& Jeffrey, 1967; Conde, Moreno \& Márquez, 1975).

The conformation of the sugar ring can be described (Sundaralingam, 1973) by ring torsion angles and the least-squares planes. In the title compound, the $\beta$ anomer, $\mathrm{C}(12)$ has a maximum deviation of $0.26 \AA$ on the same side as $\mathrm{C}(10)$, and $\mathrm{C}(13)$ has the next largest deviation of $0.24 \AA$ on the opposite side of the mean

## Table 3. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ )

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.42(0)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.38(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.47(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.43(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.42(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.42(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.45(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.38(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}$ | $1.70(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.40(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.34(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.33(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.51(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.38(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.55(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.56(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.53(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.36(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.51(1)$ |

Table 4. Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )


Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecule.

| -O(1)-C(14) | 108.8 (3) | $N(2)-C(8)-S$ | 126.1 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 127.3 (4) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 106.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 124.2 (4) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $106 \cdot 6$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 108.4 (4) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121 \cdot 1$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110 \cdot 2$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $130 \cdot 0$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $120 \cdot 2$ (4) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 108.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 117.0 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 107.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 122.7 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 104.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 117.9 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 114.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $120 \cdot 7$ (6) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $115 \cdot 2$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 118.1 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 109.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 122.4 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 101.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.5 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 107.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $120 \cdot 1$ (5) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 99.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.0 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 111.4 (4) |
| - $(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}$ | 127.1 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 107.5 (4) |



Fig. 2. Projection of the structure along the $c$ axis.

Table 5. Torsion angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ )

| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-17.9(5)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $37.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-41.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $31.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-9.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $24.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-81.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $159.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-159.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $75.1(3)$ |

plane so that the sugar pucker is $\mathrm{C}(12)$-endo, $\mathrm{C}(13)$ exo. From the torsion angles in Table 5 it can be deduced that the furanose ring is in the ${ }_{3}^{2} T$ conformation.

The anomeric configuration can be defined by the atoms bonded to the furanose ring. In the $\beta$ anomer $\mathrm{C}(10)$ is on the opposite side of the mean plane to $\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(3)$, and these three atoms have deviations of $0.66,-1.65$ and $-0.32 \AA$ respectively, while the a anomer has $C(10), O(2)$ and $O(3)$ on the same side of the least-squares plane.

Fig. 2 shows the arrangement of the molecules in the unit cell as viewed along [010]. The molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces. Each molecule is involved in two strong hydrogen bonds, $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ of $2.70 \AA$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ of $2.76 \AA$. Both contacts are between molecules related by twofold screw axes and translated, for the first, along the $b c$ diagonal, and, for the second, along the $b$ axis. There is a short $S \cdots C(14)$ distance of $3.55 \AA$ between molecules translated along the $b c$ diagonal that may indicate some van der Waals
interaction. The effect is to produce a three-dimensional network of molecules.
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#### Abstract

C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=13 \cdot 280$ (6), $b=$ $8.638(5), c=8.164$ (5) $\AA, \quad \alpha=107.6(2), \quad \beta=$ $82.4(2), \quad \gamma=97.7(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=880.7 \AA^{3}, \quad M_{r}=366 \cdot 5$, $D_{m}=1.36, D_{c}=1.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $Z=2$. The structure

^[ * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. ]


was solved by direct methods to a final $R$ of 0.073 for 1724 unique X-ray diffractometer data. There are no unusual bond lengths.

Introduction. 1-Methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene has been shown to undergo regiospecific Diels-Alder addition to


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 33003 (13 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.

